## Reviewer # \_\_\_\_. Peer Evaluation of Presentation by \_\_\_\_\_\_.

|  | Beginning<br>1   | Developing<br>2   | Accomplished<br>3  | Exemplary<br>4   | Score |
|--|--|---|--|--|-------|
| Introduction<br>& Context  | Significance and importance of overall project aims and goals are unclear. Relevance of the specific project not established.  | Significance and importance are explained in the context of ongoing research in the group. Pertinent references are cited.  | Significance and importance are explained and justified in the context of ongoing research in the group.  Greater context lacking.                                   | The general significance and the broader importance are explained and justified of the general context and of the specific research project.   | /4    |
| Science<br>Problem, Math<br>Problem, and<br>Their<br>Integration | Research problem defined vaguely. Science and/or math challenges not isolated.  Unclear whether the project presents cross- and/or interdisciplinary challenges.  Hypothesis is missing.  Specific aims are missing. | Research problem is defined but its science and/or math challenges are not well characterized.  Cross- and/or interdisciplinary challenges remain unclear.  Hypothesis is formulated.  Specific aims are missing. | Science and math problems are stated but not well separated.  Cross- and/or interdisciplinary issues stated.  Hypothesis is formulated and specific aims are listed. | Science and math problems are clearly stated separately, without jargon and/or undefined technical terms.  Cross- and/or interdisciplinary nature of the project is clearly stated.  Hypothesis is formulated and specific aims are listed regarding science and math. | /4    |
| Materials<br>& Methods   | Chaotic description of research. Too many issues lacking precision or not addressed. Next to impossible to reproduce.  | About 75% of essentials elements described with pertinent references. Sequence less than ideal. Might be able to reproduce.   | About 90% of essential components are described with pertinent references. Sequence is reasonable.  Should be able to reproduce.                                     | All materials, equipment, known procedures & algorithms, extant software described with references.  Will be able to reproduce.  | /4    |
| Results &<br>Discussion  | Confusing presentation of research performed. Lacking comparative discussion of new and prior results.   | Comprehensible presentation of research performed. No clear separation between new and prior results.   | Clear presentation of research performed. Clear separation between new data and reference materials.   | Clear & concise presentation of research performed and of results. Discussion of possible errors.  | /4    |
| Conclusion   | The statements in "Conclusion" do not correspond to the hypotheses stated in "Introduction".   | No clear separation between<br>summary of new results and<br>assessment of hypotheses.<br>Broader impact missing.   | No clear separation between summary of new results and assessment of hypotheses. Overreaching interpretation.  | Summary of factual results.<br>Evaluations of hypotheses.<br>Deductions about impacts are<br>reasonable and justified.   | /4    |
|  |  |   |  | Content Total<br>(Max. 20)   |       |

Continued on back page!

## Reviewer # \_\_\_\_. Peer Evaluation of Presentation by \_\_\_\_\_\_.

|   | Beginning<br>1  | Developing<br>2   | Accomplished 3  | Exemplary<br>4   |    |
|---|---|---|---|--|----|
| Eye Contact                                   | Does not attempt to look at audience at all, reads notes the entire time. | Only focuses attention to one particular part of the class, does not scan audience. | Occasionally looks at someone or some groups during presentation.     | Constantly looks at someone or some groups at all times.                       | /4 |
| Mannerism,<br>Gestures, etc.<br>Counts 0.5    | Underprepared and incompetent, and it shows.                              | An honest attempt. Not on top of the material as yet.                               | Self-assured, mostly competent, responsible.                          | Calm, optimistic, self-assured, and competent.                                 | /2 |
| Vocal. Pauses<br>(uh, well, um)<br>Counts 0.5 | More than 10. Irritating.   | Slightly irritating.  | Noticeable.   | Fine.  | /2 |
| Enthusiasm                                    | Shows no interest in topic presented.                                     | Shows some interest toward topic presented.   | Occasionally shows positive feelings about topic.                     | Demonstrates a strong positive feeling about topic during entire presentation. | /4 |
| Slides  | Some key elements missing.<br>Slides are poorly prepared.                 | Outline is recognizable but incomplete. Some slides lack in form and/or content.    | All key elements are present. One slide lacks in form or content.     | Slides are correct in form and content, and enhance the presentation.          | /4 |
| Timing  | Cannot finish in time or insufficient material.                           | Insecure about timing. Frequent adjustments of presentation speed.                  | Finished on time. But slow at beginning and/or rushed toward the end. | Appropriate number of slides presented at a speed that allowed following.      | /4 |
|   |   |   |   | Presentation Total<br>(Max. 20)  |    |
|   |   |   |   | Overall (Max. 40)  |    |

| Constructive Comments to Improve Slides: | Constructive Comments to Improve Oral Presentation: |
|--|---|
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |